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Relationships between School Characteristics and Character Building of Pupils in Chinese Primary Schools in Malaysia

Abstract

The main research question for this study is: To what extent do school characteristics contribute to the character building of pupils in Chinese primary schools in Malaysia? The operational definitions of ‘characteristics’ in this study are the eight independent variables namely: 1) Dong Jia Jiao (DJJ 董家教), an abbreviation of School Boards of Governors and Parent-Teacher Associations, 2) Mother tongue education, 3) Trilingualism, 4) Confucianism, 5) School discipline, 6) Teachers, 7) Pedagogy, and 8) Environment - home and school. These eight variables were condensed into six based on Confirmatory Factor Analysis. The sole dependent variable is Character building of which the operational definition encompasses the eagerness to study, practising good values and having a sense of shame. (Chen, 1966) A total of 554 sets of questionnaires were sent to 45 Chinese primary schools in 14 states in Malaysia, and 508 sets (91.7%) were returned. The different tests used in this study included factor analysis, t-test, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), Chi-square test, and Multiple Linear Regression. The triangulation approach was adopted to triangulate the quantitative data from the questionnaires derived from literature review, with the qualitative data obtained from the interviews. The final analysis of data showed that school characteristics contributed 36.8% to the character building of Chinese primary school pupils as follows: Teachers and pedagogy (24.3%), Environment (6.6%), Mother tongue education (3.1%), DJJ (1.2%), Confucianism and school discipline (1.0%), and Trilingualism (0.6%). The balance of 63.2% therefore comes from other
external factors which affect the character building of pupils. Recommendations have been offered to Chinese primary schools for the further enhancement of character building of pupils. The future research study on developing educational innovations towards character building, better national integration and racial harmony in Malaysia will be a vital research to pursue. From the insightful mentors of China from the East to the distinguished educators of the West come the teachings and practices of education which vary as greatly as the expanse between these continents. Their goal, however, is united: to spawn educated individuals with wholesome personalities. Their goal is plain and noble: for a better and more virtuous future generation through an all-round education. This study therefore aims to provide a harmony of learning to children and to nurture them with a versatile form of teaching. As the paths to a better and full education converge, so will the objectives of a sound knowledge, namely for children to be achievers in their careers while retaining their morals.
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<td>Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran Sains dan Matematik dalam bahasa Inggeris [Teaching and Learning of Science and Mathematics in English Language]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>Parents-Teachers Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>School Certificate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJK(C)</td>
<td>Sekolah Jenis Kebangsaan (Cina) [Chinese National Type Schools or Chinese Primary Schools]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMJK</td>
<td>Conforming Secondary Schools (改制中学)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJK(T)</td>
<td>Sekolah Jenis Kebangsaan (Tamil) [Tamil National Type Schools or Tamil Primary Schools]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Sekolah Kebangsaan [National Schools or Malay Primary Schools]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPSR</td>
<td>Ujian Pencapaian Sekolah Rendah [Primary School Achievement Test or Standard Six Evaluation Test]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

The Chinese schools in Malaysia have had a history of about 200 years, with the 100-year-old Confucian Chinese Independent High School in Kuala Lumpur as its living testimony. To the Chinese emigrants to Malaysia, education of their young is as important as craving a living in the new country. From the very outset, the Chinese wanted not only to impart useful knowledge but also to nurture the Confucian values of respect for the elders and character development. Of equal importance they hoped to instil values of scholars in their children. Character building is thus synonymous with Chinese education and it therefore has become the main purpose of Chinese parents wanting to send their children to Chinese schools since the early years. Parents wanted their children to be nurtured to have filial piety, good manners, and integrity, the last of which was testified by businessmen whose words were as good as sealed agreement. The schools were self-reliant, the teachers dedicated, and the school culture was that of learning and educating.

As years passed by and with the society becoming more affluent, the status of teachers was affected in a materialistic world. Respect for individuals began to be weighed on silver and gold. Teachers who are not decently paid might become the object of sarcasm (Becker, 1949); and though monetary returns may not be the sole motivating factor in teaching, a low salary neither inspires the teachers. (Kelly, 2010) With the emergence of globalization and easy access of communications at current
time, human behaviours, social values, mores change and Criminal Breach of Trust have become common even among the top leaders of organisations and political arena. Thus there appears to be a need for rethinking the school on how moral education and the teaching of good citizenship are being taught.

The Chinese primary schools in Malaysia are unique because of their school characteristics. This in turn affects the character building of pupils. The teaching of three languages, the teaching of Confucian values, and the existence of Boards of Governors are characteristics of Chinese primary schools but not the National schools. The school characteristics are also common knowledge to Chinese educationists and society for the past 50 years. Hence, the conceptual definition of these school characteristics, together with character building, will justify their selection as the Independent Variables (IVs) and Dependent Variable of this research.

If the Chinese primary schools of the early years were synonymous with character building, can it be said to be so in the twenty-first century? The school characteristics basically remain the same. It is the character building of pupils in these schools that is to be examined in this research. The research is to find out whether the Chinese primary schools still maintain that legacy of having produced pupils of character, with existing school characteristics. The main research question will then be: To what extent do school characteristics contribute to the character building of pupils in Chinese primary schools in Malaysia? Relationships between school characteristics and character building of pupils in selected Chinese Primary schools are thus deemed to be worth researching.