THE EVALUATION OF ENRICHED THEISTIC CENTRED CURRICULUM IN SEKOLAH DIAN HARAPAN AND SEKOLAH LENTERA HARAPAN, INDONESIA

**ROMANDITO MAHENDRAYUDHA** 

ASIA e UNIVERSITY 2022 THE EVALUATION OF ENRICHED THEISTIC CENTRED CURRICULUM IN SEKOLAH DIAN HARAPAN AND SEKOLAH LENTERA HARAPAN, INDONESIA

# ROMANDITO MAHENDRAYUDHA

A Thesis Submitted to Asia e University in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2022

### ABSTRACT

The Indonesian national 2013 kurikulum (K13) draws from the national ideology of *Pancasila*. There are five principles in *Pancasila*. The first one is the theistic principle relating to God-centred education emphasising faith and piety. It accommodates diversity in religions. The research was conducted to evaluate the implementation of the theistic principle in Sekolah Dian Harapan and Sekolah Lentera Harapan, as a Christian school system under a head office collectively known as SDLH. Both schools used enriched K13. The evaluation of curriculum implementation was done using Stufflebeam's Context, Input, Process and Product evaluation model. The study employed qualitative methodology. It addressed both the macro and micro perspectives by looking at the whole school system as a single case, while treating each CIPP stage as a distinct unit of analysis. The data were collected through observations, interviews, and participatory self-studies. The respondents were the leadership team of 39 schools which consists of principals, vice-principals, and curriculum-coordinators. The findings show that God-centred education occurred intentionally in the SDLH school system. Nevertheless, this study found substantial shortcomings in the alignment of the implementation of the theistic Pancasilaist Godcentred education from Input to product of learning. While SDLH intended to convey GCE at the contextual level, the implementation was not deeply and profoundly effective. The inconsistency started at the input stage resulting in a weak learning process that affected the GCE delivery as reflected well in the product of learning. The research makes significant contributions to the theistic God-centred education in Indonesia in a way it highlights the crucial gaps which will help enable constructive curriculum alignment from policy to practice.

Keyword: Theistic, Divinity, Education, Curriculum Evaluation, Pancasila, CIPP

### APPROVAL

This is to certify that this thesis conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in quality and scope, for the fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The student has been supervised by: Professor Dr Siow Heng Loke

The thesis has been examined and endorsed by:

Professor Dr Siti Maziha Binti Mustapha Professor Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur Examiner 1

Professor Dr Vincent Pang Professor Universiti Malaysia Sabah Examiner 2

This thesis was submitted to Asia e University and is accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**Professor TsDr Titik Khawa Abdul Rahman** Asia e University Chairman, Examination Committee 18 May 2022

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis submitted in fulfilment of the PhD degree is my own work and that all contributions from any other persons or sources are properly and duly cited. I further declare that the material has not been submitted either in whole or in part, for a degree at this or any other university. In making this declaration, I understand and acknowledge any breaches in this declaration constitute academic misconduct, which may result in my expulsion from the programme and/or exclusion from the award of the degree.

Name of Candidate: Romandito Mahendrayudha

Signature of Candidate:

Date: April 2022

Copyright by Asia e University

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, the researcher also wants to give his most significant appreciation to SDLH School's academic community by providing the opportunity to be a place for this research to be carried out. Particularly for Professional Development teammates: Oh Yen Nie, Hanna Parapat, Rene Sompie, Esther Hastuti, Fini Chen, and other colleagues from the Dian and Lentera Harapan school system whose names cannot be mentioned one by one for prayer and encouragement for the completion of this work. Also, for Dr Agus Susanto and Dr Ishak Wonohadidjojo from the Association Christian School International (ACSI) for allowing the use and modification of ACSI standards as a research instrument for CIPP self-study.

Second, thank you also to fellow AeU students and alumni Dr Wagimin, Dr Dodi, Mr. Anton from AEU Indonesia student association. And Mr. Didimus Tanto who helped with the mechanical process of writing this work.

Third, tremendous appreciation to Emeritus Prof. Nagendralingan, Prof. Siow Heng Loke as dissertation supervisors. Prof. Yatim Riyanto was the supervisor during the proposal stage and Prof Usman as instruments' validator. Without their contributions, this work would be impossible to finish.

Fourth, the researcher would also like to thank the family, beloved wife Lyly, and dear children Dietrich and Stephanie, who faithfully support this work's writing. Finally, in memoriam, this work is dedicated to beloved late mother Kusbandiah. Also for late honourable Emeritus Prof. Dr. Nagenralingam who's beyond dissertation supervisor, gave fatherly wisdom and love, which I much missed. Both did not have the opportunity to witness this work's completion. However, their love and dedication to

their respective nation, state, tradition, belief and family became examples and inspiration to write and complete this work.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
APPROVAL	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii

# CHAPTER

1.0	INTR	ODUC'	TION	1	
	1.1	Backg	round to the Study	1	
		1.1.1	Indonesian Ideology Context: Lordship of God	1	
		1.1.2	Cardinal Aim of Education in Indonesia	2	
		1.1.3	Education after Independence (1948-1962)	2	
	1.2	Proble	em Statement	4	
		1.2.1	Issue That Leads to Research: The Lesson Memorization for Test	6	
		1.2.2	Issue That Lead to Research: Vagueness in The Indonesian God-Centred Education	8	
		1.2.3	Issue that Lead to Research: The SDH/SLH Curriculum Framework	11	
		1.2.4	Issue that Lead to Research: The Enriched Components in The SCF	11	
		1.2.5	The Central Problem	12	
	1.3		tive of The Study	13	
	1.4		rch Questions	15	
		1.4.1		16	
		1.4.2	•	16	
	1.5	The B	asic Assumption	17	
	1.6		icance of The Study	17	
		1.6.1	Practical Contribution	17	
		1.6.2	Theoretical Contribution	17	
		1.6.3	Comparative Contribution	18	
			Possible Contributions at Greater Regional ASEAN	19	
	1.7	The So	cope of The Study	20	
	1.8	Chapte	er Summary	21	
2.0	LITE	RATUI	RE REVIEW	23	
	2.1	Introduction			
	2.2	The C	ontext of Learning Literature: Past Discourse	23	
		2.2.1	Darmaputera: The Search of Identity	23	
			Titaley: A Sociohistorical Analysis	25	
		2.2.3	Ismail: The Tension in Islamic Interpretation of Pancasila	27	
	2.3	Input of	of Learning Literature: Past Curriculum Review	29	
		2.3.1	Christiani: Research of Sunday School Curriculum and		
			Pluralism	29	
		2.3.2	Towaf: Study on Pancasila Moral Education Textbook		

		Review	30
	2.3.3	International and National GCE Curriculum Research	31
	2.3.4	This Case Study as The Continuation of Past Research	36
2.4	Proces	ss of Learning literature: GCE Faith Development Process	36
	2.4.1	The Western Spiritual Formation Theories	36
	2.4.2	The Indonesian GCE Dewantaran	37
2.5	The P	roduct of Learning: Theistic Pancasila Education and	
	Christ	ian Worldview	44
	2.5.1	The Harmonious View of Pancasila and The	
		Christian Worldview	46
	2.5.2	The Paradoxical story in the Bible: Paradox Mindset as	
		Ideal Product of Learning	47
2.6	Deepe	er on Pancasila: The View of Pancasila as an Ideology from	
	the Bi	blical Standpoints	49
	2.6.1	Pancasila and The Cosmological Argument: God as	
		Ultimate Creator	51
	2.6.2	Pancasila and The Design Argument: God as Creator and	
		Lord	52
	2.6.3	Pancasila and the Moral Argument: God's as the Lawgiver	s 53
	2.6.4	When General Revelation is not Enough for Christian	55
	2.6.5	BCW and the Theistic k13 Pancasila Education: A	
		Comparison	56
2.7	The E	valuation of Curriculum Theory and CIPP	57
2.8	Disco	urse Analysis and Gap	60
	2.8.1	Context of Learning: Discourse	60
	2.8.2	Input of Learning: Discourse	62
		Process of Learning: Discourse	62
2.9	Chapt	er Summary	63
RES	SEARCH	I METHODOLOGY	64
3.1	Defini	itions and Term of Operational	64
3.2	Conce	eptual Framework	65
3.3	CIPP	Evaluation Model as Theoretical Framework	67
	3.3.1	The Context Evaluation	67
	3.3.2	The Input Evaluation	67
3.4		nstrument, Study's Focus, Schools and The Age Group	70
	3.4.1	The Instrument Used to Measure "GCE Content of	
		Implementation."	70
	3.4.2	Creating The Research Instrument for CIPP Self-Study	78
	3.4.3	The Age Focus of Study	83
	3.4.4	The Selections of The Research Participants and	
		Locations	84
	3.4.5	Selecting Involving School	85
	3.4.6	Selection of the Research Participants	85
	3.4.7	Profiles of the Research Participants and Interviewee	86
3.5		Collection Procedure and Techniques	86
	3.5.1		87
	3.5.2	Procedure for Data Collection in Input Evaluation	91
	3.5.3	Procedure for Data Collection in Process Evaluation	91
	3.5.4	Procedure for Data Collection in Product Evaluation	92

3.0

	3.6	Permission, Ethics and Clearance	92
	3.7	The Data Analysis	93
		3.7.1 Research Verification for Validity and Reliability	95
		3.7.2 The Research Reliability	95
	3.8	The Process to Form The Thematic	96
		3.8.1 Justification of Using Thematic Analysis (TA)	96
		3.8.2 Visualisation of Keyword by Padlet	97
		3.8.3 Thematic Table and Coding Process	98
		3.8.4 The Thematic Formations	99
		3.8.5 The Triangulation Process for During Thematic Formation	100
	3.9	Testing The CIPP Instrument in The Self-Study Pilot	101
		3.9.1 Instruction Background and Procedure	101
		3.9.2 Open-Ended Question Interview Samples	102
		3.9.3 The Qualitative Rubrics	102
	3.10	The CIPP Pilot Results: Data Analysis Sample	104
		3.10.1 Question One Pilot Interview	104
		3.10.2 Question Three Pilot Interview	105
		3.10.3 Question Four Pilot Question	106
		3.10.4 The Analysis of Pilot's Process	107
		3.10.5 The Preliminary Report and Sample of Findings	108
		3.10.6 Unintended Find in Pilot	111
		3.10.7 The Pilot Conclusion	112
		3.10.8 Modification and Addition to The Pilot for Actual	112
		Self-Study	112
	3.11	The Actual CIPP Self-Study Procedure	112
	5.11	3.11.1 Step I: The Instruction and Group Distribution	114
		3.11.2 Step II: The Self-Study Personal Interview I	114
		3.11.3 Step III: The Self-Study REACH Rubrics	114
		3.11.4 Step IV: The Self-Study Personal Interview II	115
		3.11.5 Step V: The Group Moderation	115
	3.12	The REACH Self-Study Implementation	116
	5.12	3.12.1 The Self-Study Number of Participants	116
		3.12.2 The Self-Study as Form of Written Interview	117
		3.12.2 The Self-Study as Form of Written Interview 3.12.3 The Self-Study Document Database	117
		3.12.4 List of Collected Document During Self-Study	110
	3.13	The Field Visit Schedule	119
	3.13 3.14		120
	5.14	Chapter Summary	122
4.0	DECU	ILTS AND DISCUSSIONS	123
4.0	<b>KESU</b>	LIS AND DISCUSSIONS	123
	4.1	Congral Pasagrah Panarta	123
	4.1	General Research Reports	
		<ul><li>4.1.1 The Structure for Chapter Four</li><li>4.1.2 Structure for Each CIPP Section</li></ul>	124
	4.0		125
	4.2	Addressing Research Question One: The Context Evaluation	106
		Results	126
		4.2.1 The Reporting for The Context Evaluation	126
		4.2.2 Contextual Document Analysis: The Theistic First Principle	
		Pancasila and The Seven Butir	126
		4.2.3 Contextual Document Analysis: SDLH Curriculum	1 4 4
		Framework and The National Curriculum	141

	4.2.4	Context Evaluation: REACH Self-Study	154
	4.2.5	Summary of Context Evaluation Findings	163
	4.2.6	Thematic Discussion One: Learning in SDLH was	
		Contextually God's Centred	164
	4.2.7	Thematic Discussion Two: Educational Context Were	
		Theistic and Pancasilaist	169
	4.2.8	Answering Research Question One	175
4.3	Addres	ssing Research Question Two: The Input Evaluation Results	
	4.3.1	The Reporting for The Input Evaluation	177
	4.3.2	Finding Theistic Elements from The Documents	177
	4.3.3	Finding The Theistic Elements in Government Standards	178
	4.3.4	Finding The Theistic Components in the SDLH Assessment	
		Guide	182
	4.3.5	Finding Theistic Elements from Governments' BDE	
		Textbooks	185
	4.3.6	Finding Theistic Elements from Teachers' Lesson Material	
	4.3.7	Sample of Field Finding: SLH Toraja	206
	4.3.8	Sample of Field Finding: The Gr XI Mathematics in	010
	420	Tomohon	213
	4.3.9	Input Evaluation: REACH Self-Study	222
		Summary of Input Evaluation Findings	236
	4.3.11	Thematic Discussion Three: Lesson Start with Theistic but Diminished Later	227
	1 2 1 2	Thematic Discussion Four: Difficulties in Lessons Integration	237
	4.3.12	Per Selected GCE	247
	4313	Answering the Research Question Two	252
4.4		ssing Research Question Three: The Process Evaluation	232
	Results	•	253
		The Reporting for Process Evaluation	253
	4.4.2	Sample of Observation in Way Pengubuan	255
	4.4.3	Sample of Observation in SLH Nias	260
	4.4.4	Sample of Intensive Observation at Curug	265
	4.4.5		281
	4.4.6	Summary of Process Evaluation Findings	298
	4.4.7	Thematic Discussion Five: Teachers' Workloads Worsen Th	ne
		Situation	299
	4.4.8	Thematic Discussion Six: Routinised Learning Causing	
		Ineffective Internalisation	307
	4.4.9	Answering the Research Question Three	315
4.5	Addres	ssing Research Question Four: The Product Evaluation	
	Results	5	315
	4.5.1	The Reporting for Product Evaluation	315
	4.5.2	Finding Theistic Elements in the Government's Report	
		Structure	318
	4.5.3	Finding Theistic Elements in the Product of Learning	319
	4.5.4	Teacher and Student Produced Evidence Example: The Gra	
		VIII Science in SLH Medan	320
	4.5.5	Teacher and Student Produced Evidence Example: The Gra	
		VII English in SLH Curug	331
	4.5.6	Product Evaluation: REACH Self-Study	338

		4.5.7 4.5.8	5	347
			Delivering of GCE	347
		4.5.9	Answering the Research Question Four	350
	4.6	Chapte	er Summary	352
5.0	SUM	MARY,	, IMPLICATION AND CONCLUSION	354
	5.1	Study	Summary	354
	5.2	Restat	ing The Limitation of This Research	358
	5.3	Learni	ing from The Methodological Issues	359
	5.4	Implic	ation of The Study to SDLH: Tension Between Ideal and	
		Shallo	w Fragmented Pragmatism	361
		5.4.1	The Context Evaluation Confirmed Intentionality per	
			Wawasan Alkitabiah as Christian Ideal	362
		5.4.2	Input Evaluation Revealed the Fragmented Mentality and	
			Mindset	363
		5.4.3	The Process Evaluation Reveals the Pragmatic Thinking	
			Mindset	364
		5.4.4	The Product Evaluation Reveals Shallow Thinking	365
		5.4.5	Improving This Evaluation Accountability	366
	5.5	Recon	nmendations: The BOLA Framework as Novelty	367
		5.5.1	The Component of BOLA	367
		5.5.2	Recommendations Arise from The Input Evaluation	369
		5.5.3	Recommendations Arise from The Process Evaluation	370
		5.5.4	Recommendations Arise from the Product Evaluation	372
	5.6	The Co	onclusion Statement	373
REF	ERENC	CES		375
APPI	ENDIC	ES		383

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	The Operational Definition of Terms in This Study	64
3.2	The table of Type of Definition as adapted from (Stufflebeam, 1971, p.2	9) 69
3.3	Profile of Participant of Research and Interviewee	86
3.4	Sample Table of Qualitative Instrument Rubrics for Pilot Self-Study	103
3.5	Sample of Table of Analysis of Pilot Self-Study	109
3.6	Combination Table for Group Evaluation	116
3.7	SDLH Visitation Research Schedule	120
4.1	UPH Student-Teacher Training Slides	144
4.2	Equivalency Table of SDLH GP	145
4.3	Permendikbud No. 21 of 2016 Listed The Entire KI2	147
4.4	Comparative Table Between SDLH Virtue and Value and KI2	151
4.5	SDH Lippo Village Government Accreditation Results (2016)	153
4.6	Context Evaluation Summary of Finding	163
4.7	Thematic One Triangulation Table	168
4.8	Thematic Two Triangulation Table	173
4.9	Spiritual Assessment Anecdote Sample	180
4.10	SDLH Spiritual Assessment Checklist	185
4.11	Group Work Theistic Elements	194
4.12	Unit Plan Grade IX SLH Toraja, By Teacher	206
4.13	Sample of SLH Theistic Elements in Input of Learning	214
4.14	SLH Teaching Plan Missing the Theistic Elements	218

xii

4.15	SLH Reflection Question Also Often Missing the Theistic Elements	221
4.16	SLH Teaching Plan Missing The Theistic Elements	224
4.17	Summary Input of Evaluation Finding	236
4.18	Thematic Three Triangulation Table	245
4.19	Thematic Four Triangulation Table	250
4.20	Summary Context of Evaluation Findings	298
4.21	Thematic Five Triangulation Table	305
4.22	Thematic Six Triangulation Table	311
4.23	Teaching and Learning Plans from SLH Medan Teachers	325
4.24	Sample of A Daily Teaching Plan. It Is Missing Theistic Elements	326
4.25	Sample of Teachers' Analysis of The Product Of Learning	329
4.26	Sample of Teachers' Analysis of The Product of Learning	330
4.27	English Teaching Plan Which Include Theistic Objectives of Learning from SLH Curug	335
4.28	The English Summative Design Assessment Demonstrated Strong Theistic Centred Elements	337
4.29	Summary of Product Evaluation Finding	347
5.1	Meta Evaluation Suggestion Adapted	366
5.2	Recommendation Arises from Input Evaluation	369
5.3	Recommendation Arises from Process Evaluation	370
5.4	Recommendation Arises from Product Evaluation	372

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	2	Page
2.1	The Functions of Pancasila According to Development Agency of Pancasila Ideology	47
2.2	The Pancasila as Theistic Centred Ideology and View of Life	51
2.3	The Pancasila Point of Thought "Pokok-pokok Pikiran Pancasila"	53
2.4	The Pancasila Man Characteristics	56
3.1	CIPP Model of Evaluation	66
3.2	Data Analysis: Interactive Model	93
3.3	The Process to Determining The Thematic	98
3.4	The Data Triangulation for Determining the Thematic	99
3.5	Sample One of Self Study Pilot Interview Script	104
3.6	Sample Two of Self Study Pilot Interview Script	106
3.7	Sample Three of Self Study Pilot Interview Script	107
4.1	SDLH Leadership Training Material Curriculum Framework	146
4.2	SDLH Leadership Training Material Curriculum Framework	148
4.3	Thematic One Logic Map	166
4.4	Thematic Two Logic Map	170
4.5	Affective and Spiritual Assessment Scheme	179
4.6	Map of Enrichment	183
4.7	Government Books Consists of Theistic Elements	193
4.8	Assessment Grade IX SLH Toraja	210
4.9	Sample of SLH summative Assessment	212
4.10	Some of Government's Book Does Not Contain Theistic Elements	215

xiv

4.11	SLH Reflection Question Also Often Missing the Theistic Elements	221
4.12	Thematic Three Logic Map	240
4.13	Thematic Four Logic Map	248
4.14	Thematic Five Logic Map	301
4.15	Thematic Six Logic Map	309
4.16	Ms-one Note as Digital Communication Means for Collecting Research Evidence	321
4.17	Photos of SLH Students' Demonstrate Project Based Learning Results	323
4.18	Photos of SLH Students' Demonstrate Inquiry Learning Results	323
4.19	Example of SLH Students'-Generated Research Reports	324
4.20	Sample of Assignment, Disconnected with The Selected Theistic Elements	327
4.21	Suggestion for Enrichment That Consists of Theistic Elements	328
4.22	The Teacher-Produced, Students Assessment Rubric	328
4.23	Ms OneNote as Digital Communication Means for Communicating, Collecting and Documenting Research Documents of Evidence	332
4.24	Example of Formative Questions for Class VII English in SLH Curug	333
4.25	English Learning Materials PPts Which Include Objectives of Learning	334
	from SLH Curug	
4.26	The English Summative Assessment Demonstrated Strong Theistic	336
	Centred Elements	
4.27	Example: SLH Way Pangubuan Sample of Unit Plan and Teaching Plan - Sociology	343
4.28	Examples of Student Essay Work from SLH Way Pengubuan	343
4.29	Example of Way Pengubuan SLH Teachers' Grade Book for Report Card	346
4.30	Thematic Seven Logic Map	349

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AeU	Asia e University
GCE	God Centred Education
HNI	High Net Individuals
MOE	Ministry of Education
SPH	Sekolah Pelita Harapan
SLH	Sekolah Lentera Harapan
YPPH	Yayasan Pendidikan Pelita Harapan

#### **CHAPTER 1.0**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Background of The Study

Indonesia is a country with multi-cultural, diverse religions and hundreds of dialects. It is the largest archipelagic country in the world and has the largest Muslim population in the world. Despite its large Muslim population, this country is neither a religious nor secular state. The Pancasila or five principles united all elements of Indonesian diversity, including unifying religious pluralism. This research discussed the extent of application of the first principle of Pancasila or the Lordship of one True God in the context of Christian education.

#### 1.1.1 Indonesian Ideology Context: Lordship of God

The majority of Indonesian citizen's view *Pancasila* as a public religion (Intan, 2006). Due to that fact, *Pancasila* in the same time is an open theistic political framework, and theistically centred, because Pancasila recognises God's Oneness and acknowledges the Lordship of God over His creation as stated in the first principle: Belief in the Almighty God or *Ketuhanan Yang Maha Esa*.

Pancasila is an open system because it functions as a "*leithstar*" or a dynamic principle that unites the characteristics" of different official /(legal) religions and belief systems in Indonesia. Its openness permits different dogmatic positions between diverse religions as far as everyone believes in God's existence as the primary cause of Creation and the Lord over His creation (Soekarno, 2006). This first principle becomes the central pillar of faith among Indonesian society.

The authenticity of Pancasila makes the education system in Indonesia also philosophically unique. However, there are some sceptics that undervalue Pancasila by simplifying its meaning as mere propaganda and political tool. Sceptics may consider Pancasila as virtually empty, thus, naturally function merely as the government propaganda tool (Bev, 2010; Densmoor, 2014).

On the other hand, some researchers discerned Pancasila more positively. They see it as a pillar to build the "*Pancasilaist*" society that believes in God. Therefore, naturally a theistic centred education can be considered as a unique Indonesian educational system.

#### 1.1.2 Cardinal Aim of Education in Indonesia

This research scrutinises the uniqueness of theistic education systems in Indonesia from the perspective of the primary purpose of Indonesian education according to the law, as stated below:

"Education in Indonesia aims to develop and dignify characters and Indonesian civilisations and intellectually shape both the character and the Indonesian people's nature. Developing Indonesian to have faith and obey God; so that Indonesians can have noble moral virtue. Developing the society with influential intellectual, creativity and entrepreneurship, hence Indonesians will have the capacity to manage the Indonesian land as a heritage to bear more significant benefits. Developing the nationalistic citizens' attitude, who are democratic, respect differences and are responsible primarily and morally to God" (President, Translated, 2003, ch.3)."

This research focuses on the second objective of the national aim of education, to achieve a devout Indonesian society and has faith in God through God-centred education.

### 1.1.3 The Brief History of Yayasan Pendidikan Pelita Harapan (YPPH)

Yayasan Pendidikan Pelita Harapan (YPPH) established its first school in 1995. At that time, the Indonesian government was permitting *Sekolah Pelita Harapan* (SPH) in Lippo Karawaci to recruit foreigners as teachers and run the oldest Indonesian-

owned school under the international curriculum. SPH is a model for improving Indonesia's overall educational quality, owned by the Indonesian and run by the Indonesian board.

Indonesia's ultra-rich high net individuals (HNI) have access to this prestigious elite school. Hence, they did not have to send their children abroad to get an elite education. Shortly after, SPH opened more campuses in Sentul and later also in Cikarang and Pluit. Currently, there are five SPH campuses throughout Indonesia. SPH is a religion-based school with a reformed-evangelical Christian backdrop. SPH places God as the centre of the educational process and operates under the international curriculum system.

SPH runs as an international collaboration school and operates an international curriculum, the International Baccalaureate curriculum (IBO). SPH certainly provides an excellent opportunity for native Indonesian teachers to understand and learn firsthand how international quality education is possibly happening in Indonesia. However, the next challenge is real because SPH was accessible only by a handful of the wealthiest Indonesians.

Therefore, in 1995, also YPPH opened another school system called the Dian Harapan Schools (SDH). SDH aimed at the students from the middle-upper-class affluent family. However, the main difference is the curriculum used. Instead of implementing IB curriculum, SDH runs under the national curriculum hence is significantly more affordable.

SDH appointed Westerners as school system advisors to bring SDH relatively as "par with" or ideally approaching international standards but running the national curriculum. In the 2012-13 academic year, YPPH began to revamp the national curriculum. Therefore, enrichment of the national curriculum was done systematically based on the best practices taken from SPH. Thus, SDH can still fulfil both the main objectives of education in Indonesia as mandated by the national curriculum and at the same time approaching international standards. A while later, YPPH opened the Lentera Harapan (SLH) School, which was furthermore accessible to the public at a very affordable cost that was located mostly in the rural area.

SLH was born because of the concern and the need for good quality schools in Indonesia's rural areas hence it is accessible to all Indonesians. SLH is primarily located in district cities, rural and small cities. SLH schools are designed as non-profit oriented schools to ensure the school fees are affordable. SLH provides scholarships called the Lantern for the Nation (LBB) program to anticipate if the tuition is still unreachable.

Another significant difference between *Dian* and *Lentera* School lies in the application of the national curriculum. In comparison to SDH, SLH oriented towards the national education system without pursuing the quality of education "on par" with elements in international standard schools. This study will cover both the Dian and the Lentera Harapan School (or SDLH).

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

With such idealism and departing from the spirit of improving the quality of national education through implementing K13, SDLH decided to enrich the K13 national curriculum. The enrichment process has a Biblical centred worldview in mind, while being more compatible with the SDLH tradition as a school with a reformed evangelical tradition.

Thus, it is said that SDH / SLH enriched curriculum framework or SDLH Curriculum framework (SCF) was born as an enriched form of the 2013 national curriculum. The 2013 national curriculum (K13) was initially (also) theistic centred. Therefore, it includes faith as one of the learning domains. K13 was in line with how theologian Dallas Willard viewed faith and obedience to God as the basis of science and knowledge. Hence agreeing with Willard, the SCF also has every assumption and thought to begin with the basis of belief in God Almighty (Willard, 2002, p.12, pp. 22-23).

However, the factual implementation of K13 is still problematic. Although the nature of K13 is theistic and holistic, it does not form "deeper critical thinking and profound spiritual reflectiveness (PISA, 2015). The weak practice in learning and teaching failed to foster critical, creative and systematic thinkers. While K13 agree that faith could function as the foundation of proper knowledge, the faith facts still became memorised lesson materials for final tests (Towaf, 1990, pp.142–145). Therefore, in K13, Pancasila virtue and value reduced to merely the shallow and superficial list of memorised morality function as mere political tools that have failed to develop the spiritual and psychomotor domains (Towaf, 1990, p.52)

Towaf's research reveals gaps that occur in the application of weak curriculum practices. The patterns of shallow memorisation of moral lists are undoubtedly inadequate to effectively building noble national character as mandated by the cardinal aim of national education. Therefore, this research emerged out of this concern, "The God-centred education delivery in Indonesia simply is not profound enough (Towaf, 1990)." One of the causing element of this phenomena is that because cognitive memorisation in K13 was inherited from the previous curriculum called "Active learning curriculum - Cara *Belajar Siswa Aktif*" (or CBSA curriculum).

The CBSA was humorously titled as "a note-taking the textbook style of learning- or *Catat Buku Sampai Abis*." The CBSA curriculum, despite the name, heavily relied on the process of memorising facts for tests and called the mastery of content. CBSA was a content-based curriculum (Towaf, p.144). Unfortunately, a significant number of assessments inside the 2013 curriculum textbook were still reflecting the CBSA method of memorising these facts. Hence this CBSA inherited style that is not sufficient to foster good moral virtue (Sudrajat, 2010, pp.1–3). It is simply too shallow to establish the formation and spiritual confidence of students. Therefore, curriculum 2013 is inadequate as the practical framework for achieving national education, especially in the dimension of faith and obedience formations to God (President, 2003).

### 1.2.1 Issue That Leads to Research: The Lesson Memorization for Test

The Indonesian ministry of education's (MOE) was well aware of such substantial gaps in building students' higher order of thinking (Wamendik,2015). The MOE identified three main challenges from the Indonesian education situational analysis:

- 1. General practices in the kindergarten to grade 12, or K-12 as compulsory learning is still substantially weak.
  - (a) The mandatory K-12 education program's teaching and learning practice is still inadequate to shape the national character
  - (b) The mandatory K-12 education program's teaching and learning improvement in practice, is immediately needed.
- 2. Ineffective teaching and learning.
  - (a) The government still considers that the quality of Indonesia's education system is still low, especially in the quality of students' evaluation and assessments.
  - (b) It is essential to improve the quality of teaching and learning, especially from learning evaluation and students' assessment.
- 3. The K-12 graduate quality is also flawed.